

Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Cultivars to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potassium

Muhammad Irfan Ashraf*, Muhammad Aslam Pervez, Muhammad Amjad, Rashid Ahmad¹ and Muhammad Ayub
Institute of Horticultural Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

¹Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract

The goal of this study was to observe the impact of agro-management practices like, irrigation and nutrition on two pea (*Pisum sativum*) cultivars named Climax and Meteor at Horticultural Research Area, U.A.F. Growth parameters like Main stem Length (cm), number of leaves per plant, leaf Area (cm²), number of pods per plant, length of pod (cm) number of seeds per pod and reproductive attributes like 1000 seed weight, seed yield/hectare were studied. Different combinations of irrigation, potassium and phosphorus fertilizers were used as treatments in earlier experiments to study their performance and the best one selected for pea crop. The combinations used were T₀ (Irrigation up to seed filling), T₁ (Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 kg ha⁻¹), T₂ (Irrigation up to seed filling + K100 kg ha⁻¹), T₃ (Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 kg ha⁻¹+ K100 kg ha⁻¹). Climax gave better seed yield 2.24 tons as compared to Meteor with 2.33 tons ha⁻¹ seed yield. Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 kg ha⁻¹+ K100 kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) showed better performance for vegetative and reproductive parameters similarly highest seed yield 2.63 tons ha⁻¹ were found in T₃ for both cultivars of pea as compared to other combinations.

Keywords: Pea, Irrigation, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Seed vigour, Quality and yield

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) a grain legume and a member of the leguminosae family is a native of central or Southeast Asia (Warren et al., 1956). The pea is full of nutrition because its grain is rich in protein (27.8%), complex carbohydrates (42.65%), vitamins, minerals, dietary fibers and antioxidant compounds (Urbano et al., 2003). Good management practices are essential if optimum fertilizer responses are to be realized. These practices include use of recommended pea varieties, good seed bed preparation, proper seeding methods, effective plant

***Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Irfan Ashraf
Institute of Horticultural Sciences,
University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan
Email: irfanflorist199@yahoo.com

insect control. Soil test results, field experience and knowledge of specific crop requirements help to determine the nutrients needed and the rate of application (Hadavizadeh, 1989). Seed quality can be increased by careful management of seed crops during production in the field, harvest, post harvest, processing and storage. Nutrition to the seed crop may improve seed quality (George et al., 1980). Chickpea and field pea have a relatively short growing season and use less water than many other broadleaf crops such as sunflower or safflower (Johnson et al., 2002). Most research on irrigation management for pea production has dealt with sensitivity to moisture stressed specific growth stages (Hukheri and Sharma, 1980; Pumphrey and Sehwanke, 1974; Salter and Williams, 1967). Pea yields often are increased by irrigation during vegetative and reproductive growth, when soil moisture is otherwise limiting (Guatam and Lenka, 1968; Hukheri and Sharma, 1980; Zain et al., 1983). However, few studies have examined the effects of irrigation on spring pea seed yield (Stoker, 1977; White et al., 1982; Zain et al., 1983) and quality (Biddle and King, 1978; Nichols et al., 1978). Baigorri et al., (1999) stated that pea seed yield was strongly dependent on water availability, especially at flowering and pod filling. Similarly, Martin and Jamieson, (1996) reported that water stress during the last half of the growing season (pollination, pod and seed formation periods) was a major factor in reducing seed yields in temperate dry areas. Water stress during seed filling decreased seed yield and quality. Changing irrigation strategies for pea seed production by irrigating during seed filling improved, physiological quality of the seed lots without decreasing seed yield. Nichols et al, (1978) working with potted pea plants observed no effect of drought stress on seed conductivity or germination. Dubey et al., (1999) conducted field experiment during summer seasons of 1993 and 1994 at Kukumseri, Himachal Pradesh, India. Pea cv. Arkel was irrigated at 4, 8 and 12 days intervals and given 0, 40, 80 and 120 kg P/ha. Yield increased with decreasing irrigation interval and generally increased with increasing P rate. Rathi et al., (1993) said that pea cv. JP-885 were grown in a sandy loam and given no

irrigation. The phosphorus was given @ 0, 20, 40 and 60 kg/ha. Nitrogen and phosphorus contents in the seed was highest with irrigation and protein contents was highest with irrigation + 60 kg P₂O₅/ha. Deficient irrigation reduced seed yield more than excessive irrigation, whereas excessive irrigation caused the greatest reduction of seed quality. The main objective of the study was to observe different levels of irrigation, Phosphorus and Potassium for Pea seed crop.

Materials and Methods

This experiment was planned to assess interaction amongst promising levels of phosphorus and potassium. Irrigation levels were used which have been proved better in earlier experiments. Field experiment was laid out according to RCBD (Randomized Complete Design) with factorial arrangements. Different combination of phosphorus and potassium were used along with proved irrigation level in earlier experiment. Phosphorus and potassium were applied along with constant dose of Nitrogen @ 80 kg /ha Phosphorus and potash was applied at the time of seed bed preparation whereas, half dose of nitrogen was applied at the time of seed bed preparation and remaining was applied when flowering started. Immediately, after sowing water was applied. Irrigation was applied according to the schedule of the crop. Data collected on different crop parameters like growth, yield and seed quality were computed by using STATISTICA computer program. The least significant difference at 5% level of probability was used to test the differences among mean values (Steel et al., 1997). Crop was looked after properly. The following treatments were studied. Irrigation up to seed filling: 10 irrigations (T₀), Irrigation up to seed filling; 10 irrigations + P120 kg ha⁻¹ (T₁), Irrigation up to seed filling: 10 irrigations applied + K100 kg ha⁻¹ (T₂), Irrigation up to seed filling (10 irrigations) + P120 kg ha⁻¹ + K100 kg ha⁻¹ (T₃). Parameters, Main stem length (cm), Number of leaves per plant, Leaf area (cm²), Number of pods per plant, Length of pod (cm), Number of seeds per pod, Seed fresh weight per plant (g), Seed dry weight per plant (g), 1000 seed weight (g) and Seed yield per hectare (tons) were studied. Seed vigour tests were performed like, Germination test, Electrical conductivity test, Emergence test and Accelerated ageing test. Chemical composition of the different parts (leaves, stems, pods) of pea plant and seeds were air dried, grinded and saved in small bottles for chemical analysis. Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium and Protein were determined by according to the method described by Chapman and Parker (1961). Ash contents were determined by following method given in AACC (2000).

Results and Discussion

Growth parameters, Main stem length (cm), Number of leaves plant⁻¹ and Leaf Area (cm²), showed Meteor ousted Climax, whereas treatment means indicated significant difference among them. Irrigation up to seed filling+ P120 Kg ha⁻¹+ K100 Kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was at the top while T₀ (control) remained at the bottom. Although vegetative growth requires Nitrogen yet for legumes comparatively more Phosphorus is needed for growth and Nitrogen fixation which might have contributed on the aspect to get more number of leaves. The treatment means showed that combined treatments performed better as compared separately. Similar results were reported by Patel et al., (1998) who stated that peas cv. "Arbel" significantly increased in plant height, number of branches, leaves per plant, number of pods per plant, grains per pod and pod yield when applied @ 20 kg N/ha + 80 kg P₂O₅/ha + 40 kg K₂O/ha. Shaukat (1994) reported that with the application of P increased root weight while negligible effects on number of nodules were observed. Vimala and Natarajan (1999) observed that plant height with increasing the rate of N and P was increased as well as the number of branches per plant were found enhanced.

Growth parameters, Number of pods per plant, Length of pod (cm), Number of seeds per pod, 1000 seed weight (g), and Seed yield ha⁻¹ (tons) showed that Climax indicated better results as compared Meteor. While, Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 kg ha⁻¹+ K100 kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was also at the top with and control (T₀) was at bottom. Patel et al., (1998) they reported that significantly increased plant height, number of branches, leaves per plant, number of pods per plant, grains per pod and pod yield were observed when applied 20 kg N/ha + 80 kg P₂O₅/ha + 40 kg K₂O/ha was applied. Amjad et al., (2004) who observed that seed yield, 1000 seed weight and percentage of large sized seeds were increased significantly with the increasing level of P₂O₅.

Chemical composition (Nitrogen, Ash and Protein) of pea Leaves were indicated significant results for cultivars, different nutrient levels and their interactions. Ash %, Nitrogen % and Protein % were better in Climax as compared to Meteor. Treatment means showed that T₃ was at the top while, T₀ (control) was found at bottom, while the other treatments gave close results like, T₃ and control (T₀). Treatment (T₃) performed better for both the cultivars. All other combination of treatments was in between by showing similar results. These results in agreement with the findings of Kanaujia et al., (1997) who reported that effects of P, K and rhizobium on growth yield and quality of pea and found significant growth and nodulation increase with the increasing of P and K levels and confirmed that the level of 60 kg P and K ha⁻¹ was the best. Chemical composition

Table 1: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Growth Parameters of Two Pea Cultivars

| Growth Parameters | Main Stem Length (cm) | | | Number of Leaves Per Plant | | | Leaf Area (cm ²) | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean |
| T ₀ | 42.750 | 53.750 | 48.250d | 63.750 | 64.000 | 63.875d | 252.25 | 250.25 | 251.25d |
| T ₁ | 43.250 | 55.000 | 49.125bc | 66.500 | 65.500 | 66.000c | 253.00 | 252.25 | 252.62c |
| T ₂ | 45.250 | 60.000 | 49.625b | 67.000 | 67.000 | 67.000b | 254.00 | 253.00 | 253.50b |
| T ₃ | 57.250 | 62.750 | 60.000a | 70.250 | 68.000 | 69.125a | 255.00 | 254.00 | 254.50a |
| Cultivars Mean | 47.125b | 57.875a | - | 66.875a | 66.125b | - | 253.56a | 252.37b | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 2: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Growth Parameters of Two Pea Cultivars

| Growth Parameters | Number of Pods per plant | | | Length of pod (cm) | | | Number of Seeds per pods | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean |
| T ₀ | 22.250 | 22.000 | 22.125d | 5.2050 | 5.1500 | 5.1775d | 5.1500 | 6.0125 | 5.5812d |
| T ₁ | 23.000 | 23.500 | 23.250c | 5.6050 | 5.1750 | 5.3900c | 5.5250 | 6.2750 | 5.9000bc |
| T ₂ | 24.750 | 24.250 | 24.500b | 5.6200 | 5.6000 | 5.6100b | 5.6500 | 6.3375 | 5.9937bc |
| T ₃ | 28.000 | 27.000 | 27.500a | 6.1475 | 7.0750 | 6.6112a | 6.1750 | 8.3025 | 7.2300a |
| Cultivars Mean | 24.500a | 24.187b | - | 5.6443b | 5.7500a | - | 5.6250b | 6.7318a | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 3: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Yield Parameters of Two Pea Cultivars

| Yield Parameters | 1000 Seed weight (g) | | | Seed yield per hectare | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|------------------------|---------|---------|
| | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean |
| T ₀ | 242.25 | 250.50 | 246.37d | 2.0050 | 2.0525 | 2.0287d |
| T ₁ | 243.00 | 253.25 | 248.12c | 2.2075 | 2.1200 | 2.1637c |
| T ₂ | 244.25 | 254.75 | 249.50b | 2.3757 | 2.2825 | 2.3291b |
| T ₃ | 245.75 | 256.75 | 251.25a | 2.7450 | 2.5300 | 2.6375a |
| Cultivars Mean | 243.81b | 253.81a | - | 2.3333a | 2.2462b | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 4: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Chemical Composition of Leaves of Two Pea Cultivars

| Chemical Composition | Nitrogen % | | | Protein % | | | Ash % | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean |
| T ₀ | 1.7850 | 1.7775 | 1.7812d | 11.225 | 11.525 | 11.375d | 13.703 | 13.810 | 13.756c |
| T ₁ | 1.8100 | 1.8275 | 1.8300c | 11.357 | 11.540 | 11.448c | 13.450 | 13.710 | 13.580d |
| T ₂ | 1.8350 | 1.8500 | 1.8425b | 11.520 | 11.578 | 11.549b | 13.850 | 13.852 | 13.851b |
| T ₃ | 1.8600 | 1.8625 | 1.8612a | 11.640 | 11.623 | 11.631a | 14.710 | 14.640 | 14.675a |
| Cultivars Mean | 1.8225ab | 1.8293a | - | 11.435b | 11.566a | - | 13.928b | 14.003a | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

(Nitrogen %, Ash % and Protein %) of pea Stems were depicted significant results for cultivars, irrigation, phosphorus, potassium and their interactions. Chemical composition was better in Climax as compared to Meteor. T₃ gave better results but control (T₀) was found at bottom while other treatments showed results in between T₃ and control (T₀). These results are in agreement with the findings

of Kanaujia et al., (1997) who evaluated the effect of Phosphorus, Potash and rhizobium on growth, yield and quality of pea and reported that the growth and nodulation were significantly increased with 1 increasing of levels of Phosphorus and Potash alone and found the best of P and K was 60 Kg ha⁻¹. Seeds from plants supplied with nitrogen might be more vigorous than contro seeds. Application of nitrogen

Table 5: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Chemical Composition of Stems of Two Pea Cultivars

| Chemical Composition | Nitrogen % | | | Protein % | | | Ash % | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Treatments | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor |
| T ₀ | 1.2850 | 1.3400 | 1.3125d | 8.1225 | 8.2650 | 8.1937d | 11.408 | 11.780 | 11.594d |
| T ₁ | 1.3375 | 1.3400 | 1.3387c | 8.3600 | 8.3425 | 8.3512c | 11.390 | 11.830 | 11.610c |
| T ₂ | 1.3475 | 1.3550 | 1.3512ab | 8.4125 | 8.4125 | 8.4125b | 11.848 | 12.063 | 11.955b |
| T ₃ | 1.3550 | 1.3550 | 1.3550a | 8.4700 | 8.4650 | 8.4675a | 12.245 | 12.238 | 12.241a |
| Cultivars Mean | 1.3312b | 1.3475a | - | 8.3412b | 8.3712a | - | 11.722b | 11.977a | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 6: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Chemical Composition of Pod + Seeds of Two Pea Cultivars

| Chemical Composition | Nitrogen % | | | Protein % | | | Ash % | | |
|-----------------------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Treatments | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor |
| T ₀ | 3.3350 | 3.4150 | 3.3750d | 20.910 | 20.985 | 20.947d | 4.4250 | 4.4300 | 4.4275d |
| T ₁ | 3.3550 | 3.4350 | 3.3950bc | 20.980 | 21.255 | 21.111c | 4.5225 | 4.4850 | 4.5037c |
| T ₂ | 3.3475 | 3.4400 | 3.3937bc | 21.593 | 21.463 | 21.528b | 4.6375 | 4.6100 | 4.6237b |
| T ₃ | 3.4550 | 3.4625 | 3.4587a | 21.663 | 21.602 | 21.632a | 4.7050 | 4.7150 | 4.7100a |
| Cultivars Mean | 3.3731b | 3.4381a | - | 2.1286b | 21.326a | - | 4.5725a | 4.5600b | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 7: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Seed Nutrient Concentration of Two Pea Cultivars

| Nutrient Concentration | Nitrogen % | | | Protein % | | | Potash % | | |
|------------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|
| | Treatments | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor |
| T ₀ | 3.4175 | 3.7875 | 1.8937d | 0.3825 | 0.3850 | 0.3837a | 0.8900 | 1.0200 | 0.9550a |
| T ₁ | 3.2275 | 3.1125 | 3.1700c | 0.2400 | 0.2625 | 0.2512d | 0.5625 | 0.5800 | 0.5712d |
| T ₂ | 3.6325 | 3.3950 | 3.5137a | 0.3300 | 0.3550 | 0.3425c | 0.6850 | 0.9800 | 0.8325c |
| T ₃ | 3.3125 | 3.3325 | 3.3225b | 0.3550 | 0.3625 | 0.3587b | 0.8100 | 0.9925 | 0.9012b |
| Cultivars Mean | 3.3975b | 3.4068a | - | 0.3268b | 0.3412a | - | 0.7368b | 0.8931a | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

Table 8: Qualitative and Quantitative Response of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) to Judicious Applications of Irrigation with Phosphorus and Potash on Quality Parameters of Two Pea Cultivars

| Quality Parameters | Electrical conductivity ($\mu\text{s/g}$) | | | Emergence % | | | Germination % | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | Treatments | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor | Mean | Climax | Meteor |
| T ₀ | 17.250 | 23.000 | 20.125d | 80.250 | 86.250 | 83.250d | 5.2050 | 5.1500 | 5.1775d |
| T ₁ | 19.000 | 24.000 | 21.500c | 81.750 | 87.250 | 84.500c | 5.6050 | 5.1750 | 5.3900c |
| T ₂ | 20.000 | 24.250 | 22.125b | 84.500 | 90.250 | 87.375b | 5.6200 | 5.6000 | 5.6100b |
| T ₃ | 22.000 | 24.500 | 23.250a | 96.500 | 98.250 | 97.375a | 6.1475 | 7.0750 | 6.6112a |
| Cultivars Mean | 19.562b | 23.937a | - | 85.750b | 90.500a | - | 5.6443b | 5.7500a | - |

Means followed by the same letter in a column do not differ significantly at $p \leq 0.05$.

fertilizer increased the quality of proteins to a greater degree than all other amino acids. Similar findings have been reported by (Ries and Everson, 1973; Ene and Bean, 1975).

Chemical composition (Nitrogen %, Ash % and Protein %) of pea Pod + Seeds showed significant

results for cultivars, treatments and their interactions. Ash % was better in Meteor with 4.57% as compared to Climax with 4.56%, Ash % was high in T₃ 4.57% but T₀ was present at bottom with 4.55%. Nitrogen % and Protein % were better in Climax as compared to Meteor. Nitrogen and Protein % level was also high

in T₃ but T₀ was at the bottom. These results are supported by Kanaujia et al., (1997) who evaluated the effect of P, K and rhizobium on growth, yield and quality of pea cv. Lincoln reported that the growth and nodulation were significantly increased with the increasing levels of P and K (0, 30, 60, 90 Kg ha⁻¹) alone and found P and K with 60 Kg ha⁻¹, was the best.

Seed nutrient concentration (Potash %, Nitrogen % and Phosphorus %) showed significant results for cultivars and treatments. Potash (%), Nitrogen (%) and Phosphorus (%) were better in Climax as compared to Meteor with treatment T₃ but T₀ was at the bottom while other treatments showed results inferior. These results are in line with the findings of Kanaujia et al., (1997) who reported the effect of P, K and rhizobium on growth, yield and quality of pea and reported that the growth and nodulation were significantly increased with the increasing levels of P and K (0, 30, 60, 90 Kg ha⁻¹) alone which also increased the seed yield and quality.

Seed vigour tests, electrical conductivity and emergence % tests showed Climax performed better than Meteor. Treatment means showed that Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 Kg ha⁻¹+ K100 Kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was at the first position, followed by T₂, whereas, T₀ and T₁ occupied the last two positions. These results are in line with the findings of Bhopal (1991) who observe the response of garden pea to N and P application and reported in vigorous vegetative with increasing rate of N up to 40 kg N/ha and then declined at 60 kg N/ha. Germination % test showed that Meteor performed comparatively better than Climax under the aspect of germination percentage with 94.50 and 93.81 respectively. As far as germination %age is concerned, T₃ also stood at the 1st position, followed by T₂, where as, T₀ (control) occupied at last position by showing less germination %. Moreover treatment mean values followed the sequence of T₃ (96.25), T₂ (94.25), T₁ (93.50), and T₀ (92.62). These results are in line with the findings of, Cutcliffe and Munro (1980) studied the effects of NPK on pea crop and reported that maximum germination was found 85-95% by increasing the rate of N and P.

Conclusion

Climax gave better seed yield 2.24 tons as compared to Meteor with 2.33 tons ha⁻¹ seed yield. Treatment, Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 Kg ha⁻¹+ K100 Kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was found at the top with 2.63 tons ha⁻¹ seed yield, followed by T₂ with 2.33 tons ha⁻¹ while control (T₀) was found at the bottom with 2.02 tons ha⁻¹ respectively. As far as seed vigour tests showed that Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 Kg ha⁻¹+ K100 Kg ha⁻¹ (T₃) was better for both cultivars. Different chemical composition tests of leaves, stems

and pods were observed it was found that Climax cultivar was better as compared to Meteor. It is concluded that seed vigour of Climax cultivar with Irrigation up to seed filling + P120 Kg ha⁻¹+ K100 Kg ha⁻¹ gave better results as compared to other levels of phosphorus, potash and Irrigation.

References

- AACC, 2000. Approved methods of American association of cereal chemists (10th ed). Arlington, USA: American Association of Cereal Chemists.
- Amjad M, MA Anjum and N Akhtar, 2004. Influence of phosphorus and potassium supply to mother plant on seed yield, quality and vigour of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Asian Journal of Plant Science, 3(1): 108-113.
- Baigorri HS, MC Antolin and M Sanchez-Diaz, 1999. Reproductive response of two morphologically different pea cultivars to drought. European Journal of Agronomy, 10: 119-128.
- Bhopal S, 1991. Note on response of garden pea to N and P application in North Hills. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 47(1): 107-108.
- Biddle AJ and JM King, 1978. Effect of harvesting methods, equipment and weather on pea seed quality. Acta Horticulture, 83: 77-81.
- Chapman HD and F Parker, 1961. Determination of NPK. Methods of soils, plants and waters, Private Divison Agriculture University California, USA, pp: 150-179.
- Cutcliffe JA and DC Munro, 1980. Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and lime on yield and maturity of green peas. Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 60(2): 599-604.
- Dubey RP, BP Kaistha and RC Jaggi, 1999. Influence of irrigation and phosphorus on growth, green pod yield and nutrient uptake of pea in Lahaul valley of Himachal Pradesh, Indian Journal of Agronomy, 44(1): 137-140.
- Ene BN and EW Bean, 1975. Variation in seed quality between certified seed lots of perennial ryegrass, and their relationship to nitrogen supply and moisture status during seed development. Journal of the British Grassland Society, 30: 195-199.
- George RAT, RJ Stephens and S Varis, 1980. The effect of mineral nutrients on the yield and quality of seeds in tomato. In seed production (Ed. P.D Hebblethwaite), pp: 561-567.
- Guatam OP and D Lenka, 1968. Response of vegetative reproduction growth to row spacing and seed rate of pea under different fertility and irrigation conditions. Indian

- Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 38: 856-863.
- Hadavizadeh A, 1989. The effect of mother plant nutrition on seed yield, quality and vigour in peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). B. Sciences and Engineering, 50(5): 1698B.
- Hukheri SB and AK Sharma, 1980. Irrigation requirement of field pea for grain. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 50: 157-160.
- Johnson AM, Tanaka DL, Miller PR, Brandt SA, Nielsen DC, Lafond GP and Riveland NR, 2002. Oilseed crops for semiarid cropping systems in the northern Great Plains. Agronomy Journal, 94: 231-240.
- Kanaujia SP, KB Restogi and SK Sharm, 1997. Effect of phosphorus, potassium and rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield and quality of pea cv. Lincoln. Journal of Vegetable Science, 24(2): 91-94.
- Martin RJ and PD Jamieson, 1996. Effect of timing and intensity of drought on the growth and yield of field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science, 24: 167-174.
- Nichols MA, IJ Warrington and DJ Scott, 1978. Pre-harvest treatment effects on some quality criteria of pea seeds. Acta Horticulture, 83: 113-124.
- Patel TS, DS Katare, HK Khosla and S Dubey, 1998. Effect of biofertilizers and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). Indian Crops Research Hisar, 15(1): 54-56.
- Pumphrey FV and RK Schwanke, 1974. Effects of irrigation on growth, yield and quality of peas for processing. Journal, American Society of Horticultural Science, 99: 104-106.
- Rathi GS, RS Sharma and B Sachidanand, 1993. Effect of irrigation and phosphorus levels on protein content and uptake of nutrients in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Journal of Scientific Crops. Madhya Pradesh, Ind, 3(2): 80-83.
- Ries SK and EH Everson, 1973. Protein content and seed size relationships with seedling vigour of wheat cultivars. Agronomy Journal, 65: 884-886.
- Salter PJ and JB Williams, 1967. The effect of irrigation on pea crops grown different plant densities. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology, 42: 59-66.
- Shaukat A, 1994. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers on root growth and nodulation in black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.) seedlings. Pakistan Journal of Forestry, 44(2): 65-72.
- Steel RGD, JH Torrie and DA Dickey, 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach. 3rd ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA.
- Stoker R, 1977. Irrigation of garden peas on a good cropping soil. New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 5: 233-236.
- Urbano G, P Aranda and E Gomez-Villalva, 2003. Nutritional evaluation of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) protein diets after mild hydrothermal treatment and with and without added phytase. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51: 2415-2420.
- Vimala B and S Natarajan, 1999. Studies on effect of N, P and biofertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of pea (*Pisum sativum*). Horticulture Research Institute Combatore India, 47(1): 61-64.
- Warren BM, El Russel, GW David and OS Harry, 1956. Vegetables and fruit growing. Journal of Botany Chicago, 2:192-193.
- White JCH, GW Sheath and G Meiger, 1982. Yield of garden peas-field responses to variation in sowing rate and irrigation. New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 10: 155-160.
- Zain ZM, JN Gallagher, JGH White and JB Reid, 1983. The effect of irrigation on radiation absorption, water use and yield of conventional and semi-leafless peas. Proceedings Annual Conference Agronomy Society New Zealand, 13: 95-102.